

Arithmetic cutoff bounds and sharp tail capacity for local Nyman–Beurling certificates

Scott Schubr
Luminary Oddities

October 26, 2026

Abstract

We determine the sharp tail capacity of anchored arithmetic trials in the whole-line Poisson norm at $\lambda = \alpha + iT$, $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. After a prescribed exterior null is imposed, the complete norm has an M^{-1} tail with a positive gcd-variance coefficient and an explicit period-free remainder. The normalized coefficient has exact infimum $[12(\zeta(2)\zeta(2\alpha)/|\zeta(1+\lambda)|^2 - 1)]^{-1}$. Finite constrained capacities approach this limit at an explicit rate uniform in T . A dyadic factor imposes the null while preserving arbitrarily much projection gain at a paid support cost, yielding complete finite arithmetic lower certificates. Complementarily, an exact weighted divisor dual gives $g_N(\lambda) \leq K_\alpha N^{2-2\alpha} |\zeta(\lambda)|^2$ for all anchored complex coefficients supported on the integers at most N . The power is exact for the unrestricted head reconstruction norm. Montgomery’s unconditional small-value theorem then gives a necessary support-cutoff growth rate for an all-height positive gain. For the same trials we also give a phase-preserving, finite-order expansion of the complete mean tail. Matching its two power kernels cancels the lower endpoint and improves the sharp fixed-trial mean order to $M^{-\alpha-1}$. Explicit corrected rows and full norm envelopes yield a constrained robust certificate with a unique scalar optimum. The summation and optimization machinery is classical; the arithmetic correction retains both nulls and the actual common head.

1 The local arithmetic problem

The Nyman–Beurling approximation problem admits local versions in which a projection gain determines a zero-free disk. Nikolski’s approach and the extensions of Delaunay, Fricain, Mosaki and Robert [8] give the Hardy-space mechanism. We ask two complementary quantitative questions: how large an arithmetic cutoff must a uniform positive gain permit, and how can a finite arithmetic computation certify a gain in the complete norm?

Finite divisor inversion and arithmetic biorthogonality are classical. Balazard [1], building on Vasyunin’s system, analyzes the harmonic dual and its quotient increments. The harmonic grouped identity in [2, Theorem 3] is the critical algebraic endpoint of the weighted identity below; related weighted native duals appear in [3]. Our recipient instead matches a complex interior evaluation to a Poisson weight, retaining uniformity in the translation T . The power-law evaluation bound and its support consequence are paired with a complete arithmetic lower-certificate construction. The latter’s sharp tail and exact coefficient capacity follow from the classical gcd covariance of fractional parts and a diagonal Jordan transform; the covariance itself is credited to Franel and Landau, as recalled in [10]. The gcd quadratic form and its divisor factorization are classical; see, for example, Lindqvist and Seip [11]. The optimization here retains the particular evaluation and exterior-null

rows of the local arithmetic problem. The exact capacity is Theorem 7.1; Corollary 7.2 gives its uniform finite-cutoff cost. The sharp tail in Theorem 6.1 connects that coefficient problem to complete arithmetic certificates, while Corollary 3.3 supplies the complementary support obstruction. The packet-kernel asymptotics of [12] concern a different, native evaluation problem; the local recipient used here is made explicit in that work's mathematical supplement.

The numerator and denominator tails have different cancellation. Theorem 8.1 gives an explicit finite-order correction to the complete mean, while Corollary 8.2 identifies its sharp relation to the norm tail. The Bernoulli wave and covariance needed for this application already occur in [4, Theorem 1]; we credit that identity and retain its discrete correction. Related endpoint cancellation and periodic-tail estimates appear in [3, Sections 2.2–2.3]. Our contribution here is their matched q, λ Poisson recipient, with both coefficient nulls, complex phases and a literal complete remainder. The corrected receiver in Proposition 9.2 combines these inputs with standard ellipsoidal worst-response optimization [6, 7].

Fix throughout

$$0 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} + a, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{2} - a, \quad \lambda = \alpha + iT, \quad q = \sigma + iT, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_N$ be the Dirichlet polynomials

$$A(s) = \sum_{d \leq N} c_d d^{-s}, \quad A(1) = \sum_{d \leq N} \frac{c_d}{d} = 0.$$

The coefficients may be complex and may depend on T . The integer N is a support cutoff, not the number of nonzero terms. Put

$$d_n = \sum_{d|n} c_d, \quad B_n = \sum_{j \leq n} d_j j^{-q}, \quad p_n = n^{-2a} - (n+1)^{-2a}, \quad (2)$$

where $c_d = 0$ beyond the support. Define

$$m(A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} p_n B_n, \quad Q(A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} p_n |B_n|^2, \quad g(A) = \frac{|m(A)|^2}{Q(A)} \quad (A \neq 0),$$

and $g_N(\lambda) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_N \setminus \{0\}} g(A)$. For a trivial coefficient space the supremum is defined to be zero.

Proposition 1.1 (Complete recipient). *For every anchored finite polynomial, all these moments exist and*

$$m(A) = \zeta(\lambda) A(\lambda), \quad (3)$$

$$Q(A) = \frac{a}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\zeta(1/2 + it) A(1/2 + it)|^2}{a^2 + (t - T)^2} dt. \quad (4)$$

For $A \neq 0$, $Q(A) > 0$ and $0 \leq g(A) \leq 1$.

Proof. The summatory divisor sequence satisfies

$$D(x) = \sum_{j \leq x} d_j = \sum_d c_d \lfloor x/d \rfloor = - \sum_d c_d \{x/d\}, \quad |D(x)| \leq S_0 := \sum_d |c_d|.$$

Partial summation shows that $\sum d_n n^{-s}$ converges on $\Re s > 0$, agrees there by continuation with $\zeta(s) A(s)$, and that B_n is bounded at fixed q . Thus both moments converge. Summation by parts against p_n gives

$$\sum_{n \leq M} p_n B_n = \sum_{j \leq M} d_j j^{-(q+2a)} - (M+1)^{-2a} B_M.$$

The last term tends to zero, proving (3) since $q + 2a = \lambda$.

Initially on $\Re s > 1$, the Mellin identity is

$$\int_1^\infty B_{[x]} x^{q-s} \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\zeta(s)A(s)}{s-q}.$$

Its bounded summatory representation continues to $\Re s > 1/2$. Mellin Plancherel on the boundary gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2 + it)A(1/2 + it)}{1/2 + it - q} \right|^2 dt = \int_1^\infty |B_{[x]}|^2 x^{-2a} \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{Q(A)}{2a},$$

which is (4). If $Q = 0$, positivity of p_n forces every B_n , hence every d_n and c_n , to vanish. Finally $\sum p_n = 1$, so Cauchy–Schwarz proves $|m|^2 \leq Q$. $\square$

Minimizing $\sum p_n |1 - zB_n|^2$ over $z \in \mathbb{C}$ gives $1 - g(A)$. This identifies g_N as an optimized projection gain, without an independence assumption on a chosen trial dictionary.

2 Exact evaluation duals

Write μ for the Möbius function and

$$M_x(z) = \sum_{m \leq x} \mu(m) m^{-z}.$$

For $1 \leq n \leq N$ set

$$v_n = n^{-2a} M_{N/n}(\lambda), \quad v_{N+1} = 0, \quad \ell_n = v_n - v_{n+1}, \quad \mathcal{D}_N = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{|\ell_n|^2}{p_n}. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 2.1 (Evaluation-first dual). *For every coefficient vector supported at most N ,*

$$A(\lambda) = \sum_{n \leq N} \ell_n B_n.$$

The squared norm of this functional on the unrestricted first-head space with norm $\sum_{n \leq N} p_n |B_n|^2$ is exactly $\mathcal{D}_N$. For the anchored complete recipient,

$$g_N(\lambda) \leq \min\{1, |\zeta(\lambda)|^2 \mathcal{D}_N\}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Finite inversion gives

$$c_k = \sum_{d|k} \mu(k/d) d^q (B_d - B_{d-1}), \quad B_0 = 0.$$

Substitution in $\sum c_k k^{-\lambda}$ yields $\sum_{d \leq N} d^{q-\lambda} M_{N/d}(\lambda) (B_d - B_{d-1})$. Since $q - \lambda = -2a$, summation by parts gives the stated row. Weighted Cauchy–Schwarz proves the norm bound, with equality in the unrestricted head at $B_n = \overline{\ell_n}/p_n$. The full Q is at least its positive first head, so (3) proves (6). $\square$

Anchoring can be retained exactly, rather than discarded in this upper bound. Put

$$w_n = n^{q-1} M_{N/n}(1), \quad w_{N+1} = 0, \quad h_n = w_n - w_{n+1}.$$

The same inversion gives $A(1) = \sum h_n B_n$. In particular $h \neq 0$, since $h_N = N^{q-1}$. The exact squared head norm restricted to anchoring is

$$\mathcal{D}_N^{\text{anch}} = \mathcal{D}_N - \frac{\left| \sum_{n \leq N} \ell_n \overline{h_n} / p_n \right|^2}{\sum_{n \leq N} |h_n|^2 / p_n}. \quad (7)$$

This follows by orthogonal projection after setting $x_n = \sqrt{p_n} B_n$. Thus $\mathcal{D}_N$ in (6) may be replaced by $\mathcal{D}_N^{\text{anch}}$. Neither head norm is asserted equal to the optimized gain in the complete arithmetic recipient.

3 A log-free power law and a necessary cutoff cost

Let $\delta = 1 - \alpha = \sigma$ and $b = 1 - 2a = 2\delta$. For $K \geq 1$ define a finite sequence $H_K(n) = n^{-2a} \mathbf{1}_{n \leq K}$ and its forward difference $\Delta H_K(n) = H_K(n) - H_K(n+1)$.

Lemma 3.1 (Rank-one plus diagonal Gram). *In the difference norm with weights $1/p_n$,*

$$\langle \Delta H_K, \Delta H_L \rangle_{1/p} = 1 \quad (K \neq L), \quad d_K := \|\Delta H_K\|_{1/p}^2 = 1 - K^{-2a} + \frac{K^{-4a}}{p_K}.$$

Writing

$$u_K = \sum_{\lfloor N/m \rfloor = K} \mu(m) m^{-\lambda},$$

one consequently has the exact identity

$$\mathcal{D}_N = |M_N(\lambda)|^2 + \sum_{K \leq N} (d_K - 1) |u_K|^2. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The difference ΔH_K equals p_n for $n < K$, equals K^{-2a} at $n = K$, and vanishes after that. For $K < L$ the cross product is $\sum_{n < K} p_n + K^{-2a} = 1$. The diagonal formula follows similarly. Now $v = \sum_{m \leq N} \mu(m) m^{-\lambda} H_{\lfloor N/m \rfloor}$. Expand its difference norm and group equal floor values. The all-ones part is $|\sum u_K|^2 = |M_N(\lambda)|^2$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Uniform power law). *For every $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and integer $N \geq 1$,*

$$N^b \left(\frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \leq \mathcal{D}_N \leq K_a N^b, \quad (9)$$

where one admissible explicit constant is

$$K_a = \delta^{-2} + \frac{2^{2a}}{a} (2^{2\alpha+2} + 1) \zeta(2).$$

In particular $g_N(\lambda) \leq K_a N^{2-2\alpha} |\zeta(\lambda)|^2$. The exponent in (9) is sharp for $\mathcal{D}_N$.

Proof. The integral formula for p_K gives

$$a 2^{-2a} K^{-2a-1} \leq p_K \leq 2a K^{-2a-1}, \quad 0 < d_K - 1 \leq \frac{2^{2a}}{a} K^b.$$

Also $|M_N(\lambda)| \leq \sum_{m \leq N} m^{-\alpha} \leq N^\delta / \delta$. If $K \leq \sqrt{N}$, the interval $N/(K+1) < m \leq N/K$ contains at most $2N/K^2$ integers. Hence

$$|u_K| \leq 2^{\alpha+1} N^\delta K^{\alpha-2}, \quad K^b |u_K|^2 \leq 2^{2\alpha+2} N^b / K^2.$$

If $K > \sqrt{N}$, that interval has length less than one. Each nonempty group contains just one m , and its contribution is at most N^b/m^2 . Summing both regimes proves the upper bound via (8). This is the weighted quotient-increment split underlying the related harmonic estimates of [1].

All terms in (8) are nonnegative. The group $K = N$ contains only $m = 1$, so $u_N = 1$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_N \geq d_N - 1 = \frac{N^{-4a}}{p_N} - N^{-2a} \geq N^b \left(\frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{N} \right).$$

This sharpness statement concerns the unrestricted head functional; anchoring and the full tail remain present in the gain inequality. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 (All-height gain requires growing support). *Fix $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\eta > 0$. If an arbitrary integer schedule $N(t) \geq 2$ satisfies $g_{N(t)}(\alpha + it) \geq \eta$ for all sufficiently large t , then along an unbounded sequence t_j ,*

$$\log N(t_j) \geq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha - 1/2}}{20(1 - \alpha)} \frac{(\log t_j)^{1-\alpha}}{(\log \log t_j)^\alpha} + O_{\alpha, \eta}(1). \quad (10)$$

Thus every cutoff with logarithm of smaller order than the displayed height scale fails a fixed positive gain requirement infinitely often.

Proof. Montgomery's unconditional Theorem 1 [9], at angle π , gives for every sufficiently large X a height $X^{(\alpha-1/2)/3} \leq t \leq X$ such that

$$|\zeta(\alpha + it)| \leq \exp \left[-\frac{\sqrt{\alpha - 1/2}}{20} \frac{(\log X)^{1-\alpha}}{(\log \log X)^\alpha} \right].$$

The scale is eventually increasing, so it can be replaced by its value at t . These heights are unbounded. Apply Theorem 3.2 and take logarithms of $\eta \leq K_a N(t)^b |\zeta(\alpha + it)|^2$. Since $b = 2(1 - \alpha)$, this is (10). $\square$

The source's sufficiently-large onset is not replaced by a numerical height here. The statement fixes α , permits all complex coefficient choices at every height, and concerns the largest permitted integer index rather than coefficient cardinality.

4 Null adaptation without loss of the closed trial space

The support lower cost does not construct a successful trial. We next give a complete way to certify any proposed growing trial.

Theorem 4.1 (Costed exterior-null adaptation). *For nonzero $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and integer $k \geq 1$, set*

$$\varepsilon = 2^{-ka}, \quad A_k(s) = A(s)(1 - 2^{k(q-s)}).$$

Then $A_k(1) = A_k(q) = 0$ and its support is at most $2^k N$. Moreover

$$Q(A_k - A) = \varepsilon^2 Q(A), \quad (11)$$

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^2 Q(A) \leq Q(A_k) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 Q(A), \quad (12)$$

$$m(A_k) = (1 - \varepsilon^2)m(A), \quad (13)$$

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^2 g(A) \leq g(A_k) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 g(A). \quad (14)$$

The arithmetic images satisfying both nulls have the same closed span as all anchored arithmetic images, at every fixed a, T .

Proof. On $\Re s = 1/2$, the multiplier $2^{k(q-s)}$ has constant modulus ε . Equation (4) proves (11); the triangle inequality proves the two norm bounds. At λ its value is $2^{-2ka} = \varepsilon^2$, proving the mean identity and the gain bounds. The two nulls and support claim are immediate, with any coincident integer coefficients added. As $k \rightarrow \infty$, the full-norm error tends to zero, proving equality of closures. The reverse inclusion holds because the doubly null polynomials are already anchored. $\square$

The transformed coefficient mass obeys

$$S_0(A_k) \leq (1 + 2^{k\sigma})S_0(A). \quad (15)$$

Thus small gain loss is accompanied by an explicit cost both in support and in coefficient mass; the construction is not cost-free as $a \rightarrow 0$.

5 Complete finite arithmetic lower certificates

Theorem 5.1 (Tail-paid lower gain). *Suppose $A \neq 0$ and $A(1) = A(q) = 0$, and put*

$$K = \left(1 + \frac{|q|}{\sigma}\right) S_0(A), \quad m_M = \sum_{n \leq M} p_n B_n, \quad Q_M = \sum_{n \leq M} p_n |B_n|^2.$$

Then for every integer $M \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq Q - Q_M \leq \frac{K^2}{M+1}, \quad (16)$$

$$|m - m_M| \leq \frac{K}{(M+1)^\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

Consequently the finite arithmetic quantity

$$L_M(A) = \frac{(\max\{0, |m_M| - K/(M+1)^\alpha\})^2}{Q_M + K^2/(M+1)} \quad (18)$$

satisfies $0 \leq L_M(A) \leq g(A)$ and tends to $g(A)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. No zeta value is needed to evaluate (18).

Proof. Partial summation and boundedness of D give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = q \int_1^\infty D(x) x^{-q-1} dx = \zeta(q) A(q) = 0.$$

Subtracting this limit yields

$$B_n = D(n)n^{-q} - q \int_n^\infty D(x) x^{-q-1} dx, \quad |B_n| \leq K n^{-\sigma}.$$

Since $\sum_{n > M} p_n = (M+1)^{-2a}$, positivity and $2a + 2\sigma = 1$, $2a + \sigma = \alpha$ prove both tail bounds. The triangle inequality for m and upper bound for Q prove the certificate; convergence follows from the vanishing tails and $Q > 0$. $\square$

Combining Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 gives a complete finite hierarchy at a fixed center. To approach the limiting optimized gain, first choose a finite anchored A near its supremum, then k to retain its gain, then M to approximate $g(A_k)$. For a monotone sequence of lower bounds, retain the largest

certificate obtained so far. Completeness is an exhaustion statement; a successful uniform schedule must additionally bound its support, mass and tail parameters over the claimed height range.

As a literal example,

$$A(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})(1 - 2^{q-s})$$

uses only 1, 2, 4 and satisfies both nulls. Its center value is $(1 - 2^{1-\lambda})(1 - 2^{-2a}) \neq 0$ because $|2^{1-\lambda}| = 2^{1-\alpha} > 1$. It demonstrates the finite construction; its fixed cutoff remains subject to Corollary 3.3.

6 The sharp complete tail and a period-free remainder

The elementary tail upper in (16) can be refined to its leading coefficient. For a finite anchored coefficient vector put

$$V(c) = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{d,e} c_d \bar{c}_e \frac{\gcd(d,e)^2}{de}, \quad S_1 = \sum_d d |c_d|, \quad H = \frac{S_0}{2}. \quad (19)$$

Let $J_2(k) = k^2 \prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{-2})$ be the second Jordan function.

Theorem 6.1 (Sharp null-adapted tail). *For every nonzero finite A with $A(1) = A(q) = 0$, $V(c) > 0$. Set*

$$E = \frac{|q|S_1}{12} \left(1 + \frac{|q+1|}{\sigma+1} \right), \quad C_0 = 2a(V(c) + S_1^2) + \frac{a(2a+1)H^2}{2}.$$

Then, for every integer $M \geq 1$,

$$\left| \sum_{n>M} p_n |B_n|^2 - \frac{2aV(c)}{M} \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{M^2} + \frac{2HE}{(M+1)^2} + \frac{E^2}{(M+1)^3}. \quad (20)$$

In particular the M^{-1} order is exact for every fixed nonzero trial.

Proof. The continuous period mean of $D(x)$ is $\bar{D} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum c_d$. Define

$$h(x) = D(x) - \bar{D} = \sum_d c_d (1/2 - \{x/d\}), \quad P(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_d c_d dB_2(\{x/d\}),$$

where $B_2(u) = u^2 - u + 1/6$. The periodic continuous primitive P satisfies $P' = h$ almost everywhere, $|h| \leq H$ and $|P| \leq S_1/12$. The zero limit of B_n and integration by parts give

$$B_n = h(n)n^{-q} + qP(n)n^{-q-1} - q(q+1) \int_n^\infty P(x)x^{-q-2} dx.$$

Thus $B_n = h(n)n^{-q} + R_n$ with

$$|R_n| \leq En^{-\sigma-1}. \quad (21)$$

We require the discrete rather than continuous fractional-part covariance. Write $f_d(n) = 1/2 - \{n/d\}$ and $r = \gcd(d, e)$. Conditional on $n \bmod r = z$, the residues modulo d and e are independent on their admissible classes by the Chinese remainder theorem. Their conditional means are $(r/d)f_r(z)$ and $(r/e)f_r(z)$. Since $r^{-1} \sum_{z=0}^{r-1} f_r(z)^2 = 1/12 + 1/(6r^2)$, the exact discrete period mean is

$$\text{av}_n f_d(n) f_e(n) = \frac{\gcd(d,e)^2}{12de} + \frac{1}{6de}. \quad (22)$$

The extra term cancels in the coefficient quadratic form by anchoring. Hence $\text{av } |h(n)|^2 = V(c)$. The familiar continuous gcd covariance [10] is thus used here with its necessary discrete correction. The divisor identity for J_2 gives

$$12V(c) = \sum_k J_2(k) \left| \sum_{k|d} \frac{c_d}{d} \right|^2. \quad (23)$$

For a largest index with $c_d \neq 0$, the corresponding term is positive, which proves strict positivity.

For each pair (d, e) , the centered sequence in (22) has mean zero, period $\text{lcm}(d, e)$, and terms of modulus at most $1/2$. Therefore, writing $z_n = |h(n)|^2 - V(c)$,

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq L} z_n \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d,e} |c_d c_e| \text{lcm}(d, e) \leq \frac{S_1^2}{2} \quad (L \geq 1).$$

Abel summation gives $|\sum_{n > M} z_n / n^2| \leq S_1^2 / (M+1)^2$. Also

$$|p_n - 2an^{-2a-1}| \leq a(2a+1)n^{-2a-2}, \quad \frac{1}{M+1} \leq \sum_{n > M} n^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{M}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{n > M} p_n |h(n)|^2 n^{-2\sigma} - \frac{2aV(c)}{M} \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{M^2}.$$

Finally (21) bounds the difference of the squared terms by $2HEN^{-2\sigma-1} + E^2n^{-2\sigma-2}$. Summing against the full p_n and using their telescoping tail proves (20). No common-period length appears in its constants. $\square$

The denominator of (18) may use the smaller of the proved upper tails $K^2/(M+1)$ and $2aV/M$ plus the right-hand side of (20). Subtracting a known leading term can improve an enclosure, but additional zeros at q alone do not change the nonzero M^{-1} coefficient.

7 Exact all-support tail capacity

The coefficient in Theorem 6.1 itself has a sharp optimization independent of the full head norm. For complex z define $J_z(k) = \sum_{d|k} \mu(k/d) d^z$.

Theorem 7.1 (Sharp tail-variance capacity). *At every fixed center (1), put*

$$S_\infty = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(2\alpha)}{|\zeta(1+\lambda)|^2} - 1 > 0.$$

Then

$$\inf_{\substack{A \text{ finite,} \\ A(1)=A(q)=0 \\ A(\lambda)=1}} V(c) = \frac{1}{12S_\infty}. \quad (24)$$

Every zeta factor displayed in S_∞ is in its absolutely convergent half-plane. The infimum is approached by explicit finite polynomials.

Proof. Put $x_d = c_d/d$ and $t_k = \sum_{k|d} x_d$. On support at most N the inverse is $x_d = \sum_{m \leq N/d} \mu(m) t_{dm}$, and

$$A(s) = \sum_{k \leq N} t_k J_{1-s}(k), \quad A(1) = t_1, \quad 12V(c) = \sum_{k \leq N} J_2(k) |t_k|^2. \quad (25)$$

Thus anchoring is $t_1 = 0$. On coordinates $2 \leq k \leq N$ define

$$u_k = \frac{J_{1-\lambda}(k)}{\sqrt{J_2(k)}}, \quad v_k = \frac{J_{1-q}(k)}{\sqrt{J_2(k)}}.$$

The exact finite capacity is

$$S_N = \sum_{k=2}^N |u_k|^2 - \frac{|\sum_{k=2}^N u_k \overline{v_k}|^2}{\sum_{k=2}^N |v_k|^2}. \quad (26)$$

The denominator is nonzero for $N \geq 2$ since $2^{1-q} \neq 1$. When $S_N > 0$, the exact minimum of V under both nulls and $A(\lambda) = 1$ is $1/(12S_N)$, by projecting the evaluation row off the null row in the coordinates $y_k = \sqrt{J_2(k)} t_k$. Writing $C_N = \sum u_k \overline{v_k}$, an explicit minimizer is

$$y_k = \frac{\overline{u_k} - \overline{v_k} \overline{C_N} / \sum |v_j|^2}{S_N}.$$

It satisfies $\sum v_k y_k = 0$, $\sum u_k y_k = 1$, and $\sum |y_k|^2 = 1/S_N$. Formula (25) then constructs the coefficients. If $S_N = 0$, these normalized constraints are infeasible rather than an occasion to invert a singular matrix.

For $z = 1 - \lambda = \sigma - iT$, the prime-power formulas give

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{|J_z(p^j)|^2}{J_2(p^j)} &= 1 + \frac{|p^z - 1|^2}{(p^2 - 1)(1 - p^{2\sigma-2})} \\ &= \frac{|1 - p^{z-2}|^2}{(1 - p^{-2})(1 - p^{2\sigma-2})}. \end{aligned}$$

All factors converge absolutely since $2 - 2\sigma = 2\alpha > 1$ and $2 - \sigma > 1$. Multiplicativity and the positive series imply

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|J_{1-\lambda}(k)|^2}{J_2(k)} = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(2\alpha)}{|\zeta(1+\lambda)|^2}. \quad (27)$$

In particular $u \in \ell^2$ with squared norm $S_\infty > 0$ after omitting coordinate 1. Cauchy-Schwarz in (25) already proves $V \geq |A(\lambda)|^2/(12S_\infty)$ for every finite anchored polynomial, even without the q -null condition.

In contrast, $v \notin \ell^2$. At primes,

$$\frac{|J_{1-q}(p)|^2}{J_2(p)} \geq (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^2 p^{2\alpha-2} \geq \frac{(1 - 2^{-\alpha})^2}{p},$$

and the reciprocal primes have divergent sum. Thus $\|v_{2:N}\| \rightarrow \infty$. The normalized rows converge weakly to zero: each fixed finite head divided by this norm tends to zero, and Cauchy-Schwarz bounds its pairing with the remaining part of u by that part's ℓ^2 norm. Consequently

$$\frac{|\sum_{k=2}^N u_k \overline{v_k}|}{\|v_{2:N}\|} \rightarrow 0, \quad S_N \rightarrow S_\infty.$$

For all sufficiently large N , $S_N > 0$ and the finite minimizers therefore approach the lower bound, proving (24). $\square$

Corollary 7.2 (Uniform finite-cutoff capacity). *Let*

$$L_\alpha = \frac{2 - 2^{-\alpha}}{(1 - 2^{-\alpha})^2}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_\alpha = \exp(L_\alpha/\alpha).$$

For every real T and every integer $N \geq 2$ with $\log N \geq 1/(2a)$,

$$0 \leq S_\infty - S_N \leq N^{-2a} \left[e\zeta(2)(1 + \log N)^4 + 4\mathfrak{C}_\alpha\zeta(2)^2(1 + \log N)^8 \right]. \quad (28)$$

Moreover $S_\infty \geq (2^\sigma - 1)^2/3$ uniformly in T . Thus a cutoff making the right side smaller than this positive constant guarantees feasibility of the normalized finite constraints for every T .

Proof. Write $\tau(n)$ for the divisor function and $H_N = \sum_{n \leq N} 1/n$. The elementary estimates $|J_z(n)| \leq n^{\Re z} \tau(n)$ and $J_2(n) \geq n^2/\zeta(2)$ imply

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^N u_k \overline{v_k} \right| \leq \zeta(2) \sum_{k \leq N} \frac{\tau(k)^2}{k} \leq \zeta(2) H_N^4.$$

Here $\tau(n)^2 \leq d_4(n)$ prime-power by prime-power, and the fourfold divisor convolution has harmonic sum at most H_N^4 .

For a lower bound on $\sum |v_k|^2$, put $F(n) = \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{-\alpha})^2$. Then

$$|v_n|^2 \geq n^{-1+2a} F(n).$$

The nonnegative squarefree-supported multiplicative function r with $r(p) = (1 - p^{-\alpha})^{-2} - 1$ satisfies $1/F = r * 1$ and

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{F(n)} \leq N \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{r(p)}{p} \right) \leq N \mathfrak{C}_\alpha.$$

Indeed $r(p) \leq L_\alpha p^{-\alpha}$, and $\sum_p p^{-1-\alpha} \leq \zeta(1 + \alpha) - 1 \leq 1/\alpha$. Cauchy-Schwarz on $N/2 < n \leq N$, which contains at least $N/2$ integers and omits coordinate 1, now gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^N |v_n|^2 \geq N^{-1+2a} \sum_{N/2 < n \leq N} F(n) \geq \frac{N^{2a}}{4\mathfrak{C}_\alpha}.$$

This proves the second term of (28) for the projection penalty in (26).

For the omitted evaluation norm set $\varepsilon = 1/\log N \leq 2a$. Rankin's elementary comparison and the divisor Dirichlet series give

$$\sum_{n > N} |u_n|^2 \leq \zeta(2) N^{-2a+\varepsilon} \zeta(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \leq e\zeta(2) N^{-2a} (1 + \log N)^4.$$

Adding this tail and the projection penalty proves the bound. Finally the $n = 2$ term of (27), with $J_2(2) = 3$, is at least $(2^\sigma - 1)^2/3$, proving the last assertion. $\square$

The extra null has no penalty in this limiting variance optimum. It still has its exact finite cost in (26). This theorem optimizes the leading tail variance, rather than the complete Poisson norm or the full gain: the minimizing coefficients may have large head norm, coefficient mass and remainder constants. Combining those quantities is the further arithmetic optimization required for a uniformly successful certificate.

8 Compensated mean tails and actual alignment

The elementary mean bound (17) pays magnitudes separately. The two nulls permit a matched kernel that keeps their cancellation. Write $B_j(u)$ for the Bernoulli polynomials and $(z)_j$ for rising factorials. For integers $r \geq 1$ define

$$S_r = \sum_d d^r |c_d|, \quad C_r = \frac{\max_{0 \leq u \leq 1} |B_{r+1}(u)|}{(r+1)!}, \quad \Delta_r = (\lambda)_r - (q)_r.$$

In particular $C_1 = 1/12$, $C_2 = \sqrt{3}/216$ and $C_3 = 1/720$. Bernoulli differentiation and multiplication used below are classical [5, Section 24.4].

Theorem 8.1 (Matched complete mean correction). *Suppose $A(1) = A(q) = 0$. Put $K = M + 1$, where $M \geq 1$ is an integer. For each finite integer $R \geq 1$,*

$$m - m_M = t_{R,K}c + \mathcal{E}_{R,K}(c), \quad (29)$$

$$t_{R,K}c = \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{\Delta_r K^{-\lambda-r}}{(r+1)!} \sum_d c_d d^r B_{r+1}(\{K/d\}), \quad (30)$$

$$|\mathcal{E}_{R,K}(c)| \leq C_R S_R K^{-\alpha-R} \mathcal{A}_R, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_R = \frac{|\Delta_{R+1}|}{\alpha + R} + \frac{2a|(q)_{R+1}|}{(\sigma + R)(\alpha + R)}. \quad (32)$$

Every statement is for the complete infinite tail.

Proof. Set $F_K(x) = x^{-\lambda} - K^{-2a}x^{-q}$ for $x \geq K$. The summation identity in Proposition 1.1 and the zero limit of B_M under $A(q) = 0$ give

$$m - m_M = \sum_{j \geq K} d_j F_K(j) = - \int_K^\infty D(x) F'_K(x) dx.$$

The Dirichlet tails converge since D is bounded and $\Re q > 0$. Crucially $F_K(K) = 0$, so there is no endpoint jump contribution, including when K is divisible by a support index. Also $F_K(\infty) = 0$, so the constant part $\bar{D}$ contributes zero. For the centered $h = D - \bar{D}$ used in the sharp norm-tail proof put

$$P_r(x) = - \sum_d \frac{c_d d^r}{(r+1)!} B_{r+1}(\{x/d\}).$$

These are continuous periodic functions with $P'_1 = h$ almost everywhere, $P'_r = P_{r-1}$ for $r \geq 2$, and $|P_r| \leq C_r S_r$. Repeated ordinary integration by parts yields

$$m - m_M = \sum_{r=1}^R (-1)^{r+1} P_r(K) F_K^{(r)}(K) + (-1)^{R+1} \int_K^\infty P_R(x) F_K^{(R+1)}(x) dx.$$

Here $F_K^{(r)}(K) = (-1)^r \Delta_r K^{-\lambda-r}$, which proves the correction and its signs. To bound the remainder, scale $x = Ky$ and use the exact split

$$(\lambda)_{R+1} y^{-2a} - (q)_{R+1} = \Delta_{R+1} y^{-2a} + (q)_{R+1} (y^{-2a} - 1).$$

Against $y^{-\sigma-R-1} dy$, its absolute upper bound integrates to

$$\frac{|\Delta_{R+1}|}{\alpha + R} + |(q)_{R+1}| \left(\frac{1}{\sigma + R} - \frac{1}{\alpha + R} \right) = \mathcal{A}_R.$$

The remaining scaling factor is $K^{-\alpha-R}$, proving (31) for every complex phase. $\square$

Corollary 8.2 (Sharp fixed-trial alignment). *For a fixed nonzero anchored, q -null trial let*

$$\mathcal{B}_c(K) = \sum_d c_d dB_2(\{K/d\}), \quad W(c) = \text{av}_K |\mathcal{B}_c(K)|^2.$$

The average is over a common integer period. Then

$$m - m_M = a\mathcal{B}_c(K)K^{-\lambda-1} + O_{a,q,c}(K^{-\alpha-2}), \quad (33)$$

$$W(c) = \frac{1}{180} \sum_k (J_4(k) + 10J_2(k)) \left| \sum_{k|d} \frac{c_d}{d} \right|^2 > 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} K^{\alpha+1} |m - m_M| = a \max_K |\mathcal{B}_c(K)| > 0. \quad (35)$$

Writing $Q_{\text{tail}} = Q - Q_M$ and $\pi_M = K^{-2a}$, one also has

$$\frac{K^2 |m - m_M|^2}{\pi_M Q_{\text{tail}}} = \frac{a}{2V(c)} |\mathcal{B}_c(K)|^2 + O_{a,q,c}(K^{-1}). \quad (36)$$

Thus the actual tail alignment is $O(K^{-2})$, with the Cesàro mean of the scaled ratio tending to $aW(c)/(2V(c))$.

Proof. Use $R = 2$ in Theorem 8.1. Since $\Delta_1 = 2a$, the leading term is as stated; one explicit error constant in (33) is $C_2 S_2(|\Delta_2| + \mathcal{A}_2)$. For $g = \gcd(d, e)$ the exact discrete identity is

$$\text{av}_n B_2(\{n/d\}) B_2(\{n/e\}) = \frac{g^4}{180d^2e^2} + \frac{g^2}{18d^2e^2} - \frac{1}{30d^2e^2}.$$

Indeed, conditional on $n \bmod g = z$, multiplication of Bernoulli polynomials gives means $(g/d)^2 B_2(z/g)$ and $(g/e)^2 B_2(z/g)$. Their conditional product is valid by the Chinese remainder theorem, and direct quartic summation gives $g^{-1} \sum_{z=0}^{g-1} B_2(z/g)^2 = 1/180 + 1/(18g^2) - 1/(30g^4)$. Anchoring cancels the last rank-one term and gives $\text{av } \mathcal{B}_c = \sum c_d/(6d) = 0$. The divisor identities for J_4, J_2 prove (34). The largest occupied divisor coordinate proves its strict positivity.

This covariance is credited to [4, Theorem 1]: its wave is $b_d(k) = dB_2(\{k/d\})/2 - 1/(12d)$ and its correlation is $(g^2 - 1)(g^2 + 11)/(720de)$. On the anchored space $\mathcal{B}_c = 2 \sum c_d b_d$, so (34) is four times that correlation form. The calculation here fixes its normalization for the matched Poisson mean.

Periodicity and the uniform-in-residue error in (33) prove (35); the corresponding Cesàro squared limit is $a^2 W(c)$. Finally Theorem 6.1 gives $Q_{\text{tail}} = 2aV(c)K^{-1}(1 + O(K^{-1}))$, proving (36). All these asymptotics hold with the trial, height and parameters fixed; their constants need not be uniform when support or coefficient masses grow. $\square$

9 A corrected complete arithmetic receiver

For coefficient selection, the original common arithmetic head must be kept with the tail. Fix $N \geq 4$ and write

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \{c \in \mathbb{C}^N : A_c(1) = A_c(q) = 0\}, \quad b_M c = m_M, \quad c^* G_M c = Q_M.$$

The entries of G_M are computed from the original states $B_n(e_d)$; these individual coordinate states are used only in finite sums. Complete norms below are asserted on $\mathcal{H}_N$.

Proposition 9.1 (Paid head and norm envelope). *Let V_N have entries $\gcd(d, e)^2/(12de)$ and put*

$$\begin{aligned} D_N &= \sum_{d \leq N} d^2, \quad \gamma = \frac{|q|}{12} \left(1 + \frac{|q+1|}{\sigma+1}\right), \\ r_{N,M} &= \frac{2aD_N + a(2a+1)N/8}{M^2} + \frac{\gamma\sqrt{ND_N}}{(M+1)^2} + \frac{\gamma^2 D_N}{(M+1)^3}, \\ T_+ &= \left(\frac{2a}{M} + \frac{2a}{M^2}\right) V_N + r_{N,M} I, \quad R_M = G_M + T_+. \end{aligned}$$

These matrices are positive definite where needed, and for $c \in \mathcal{H}_N$,

$$Q_{\text{tail}}(c) \leq c^* T_+ c, \quad Q(c) \leq c^* R_M c.$$

In particular the matrix includes the complete norm, not only its head.

Proof. In (20) use $S_0 \leq \sqrt{N} \|c\|$, $S_1 \leq \sqrt{D_N} \|c\|$, $H = S_0/2$, $E = \gamma S_1$. Retain the $2aV/M^2$ term as a multiple of V_N . The other terms are bounded by $r_{N,M} \|c\|^2$ exactly as displayed. The gcd matrix V_N is positive definite by its invertible divisor factorization; also $r_{N,M} > 0$. Adding $G_M \succeq 0$ proves the claims. $\square$

Proposition 9.2 (Corrected gain and constrained scalar test). *For any fixed finite $R \geq 1$ define the computable row and scalar*

$$b = b_M + t_{R,K}, \quad \delta = C_R \left(\sum_{d \leq N} d^{2R} \right)^{1/2} K^{-\alpha-R} \mathcal{A}_R, \quad D = \delta^2 I.$$

For every nonzero $c \in \mathcal{H}_N$,

$$\frac{(\max\{0, |bc| - \delta \|c\|\})^2}{c^* R_M c} \leq g(A_c). \quad (37)$$

Fix a desired gain $\eta > 0$ and any injective basis matrix J for $\mathcal{H}_N$. A trial makes the left side of (37) strictly exceed η if and only if

$$\sup_{0 < \theta < 1} F(\theta) > 1, \quad F(\theta) = bJ \left[J^* \left(\frac{D}{\theta} + \frac{\eta R_M}{1-\theta} \right) J \right]^{-1} J^* b^*. \quad (38)$$

If $bJ \neq 0$, F is strictly concave and has a unique maximizer, the unique zero of its strictly decreasing derivative. If $bJ = 0$, then $F \equiv 0$ and no trial passes this certificate.

Proof. Cauchy–Schwarz gives $S_R \leq (\sum d^{2R})^{1/2} \|c\|$, so Theorem 8.1 gives $|m - bc| \leq \delta \|c\|$. Proposition 9.1 proves (37). The target inequality is $|bc| > \sqrt{c^* D c} + \sqrt{\eta c^* R_M c}$. For positive x, y ,

$$(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})^2 = \min_{0 < \theta < 1} \left(\frac{x}{\theta} + \frac{y}{1-\theta} \right).$$

For each θ , the constrained Rayleigh quotient of bc is the displayed inverse expression. The two existential quantifiers over c and θ commute, proving (38).

For completeness whiten $D_H = J^* D J$ and set $w = bJ D_H^{-1/2}$, $S = \eta D_H^{-1/2} J^* R_M J D_H^{-1/2} > 0$. Then

$$F(\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)w[(1-\theta)I + \theta S]^{-1}w^*.$$

Spectral decomposition reduces its second derivative to positive weights times $-2s/[1 + (s-1)\theta]^3$, $s > 0$. Thus $F'' < 0$ if $w \neq 0$, while $F'(0) = ww^* > 0$ and $F'(1) = -wS^{-1}w^* < 0$. This proves uniqueness and permits certified derivative bisection; no secondary extrema need be excluded numerically. $\square$

The worst-response norm and scalar optimization above are standard robust quadratic optimization, as in [6, 7]. The new input is the actual corrected arithmetic row and its complete error. Equivalence in (38) is to the paid certificate, not to the true finite- M gain. No numerical inverse is certified merely by writing its exact formula.

An alternative selection retains the center normalization explicitly. Let C have the three rows $A(1), A(q), A(\lambda)$, and $e = (0, 0, 1)^\dagger$. The columns 1, 2, 4 form a Vandermonde matrix with nodes $2^{-1}, 2^{-q}, 2^{-\lambda}$ of distinct moduli, so C has full row rank. Standard constrained least squares gives

$$\min_{C^*c=e} c^* R_M c = e^* [C R_M^{-1} C^*]^{-1} e.$$

This optimizes a complete upper model and therefore supplies an upper bound for the actual norm of the resulting polynomial. It does not replace the retained full head by its variance capacity.

For perspective, a mean-tail estimate using only the moment information $Q_{\text{tail}} \leq u = c^* T_+ c$ and tail mass π_M gives

$$L_{\text{mom}}(c) = \frac{(\max\{0, |b_M c| - \sqrt{\pi_M u}\})^2}{c^* G_M c + u}.$$

This is sharp for abstract tails with those moment constraints: a constant tail state of opposing phase attains the adverse mean, or reduces it to zero when allowed. Such a state need not be realizable by arithmetic coefficients. Corollary 8.2 proves that its extremal alignment is asymptotically impossible for each fixed nonzero trial. This is the cancellation the corrected row preserves.

Reproducible bounded examples. The accompanying `verify_compensated_means.py` uses exact rational identities and 256-bit Arb/Acb enclosures. It checks 256 discrete pair covariances, seven complex whole-period energy identities, and orders $R = 1, 2, 3$ for five actual arithmetic cases, using $A(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})(1 - 2^{q-s})$. For these chosen coefficients one may use the sharper scalar error $C_R S_R K^{-\alpha-R} \mathcal{A}_R$ and the scalar norm upper from (20). The same complete denominator is used in the old and corrected certificates. Rounded displays of their order-two values are:

a	T	M	moment certificate	corrected certificate
1/8	0	512	.03133023	.04032653
1/4	3	512	.19172325	.20066055
2/5	0	512	.12157883	.12442350
2/5	3	2048	.28624639	.28717439
2/5	1000	8192	.08507373	.09517727

For the first case the paid mean radius falls from about .01185507 to $2.05425 \cdot 10^{-8}$; at $T = 1000$ it falls from .03760239 to .0002024034. All fifteen finite corrected cases strictly improve their moment comparison, and eight wrong-sign controls are rejected. The verification uses $\zeta(\lambda)A(\lambda)$ only as an independent truth check; the actual corrected certificate requires no zeta value. The explicit rising-factorial and coefficient-mass costs remain essential when parameters or support grow.

10 Projection disks and arithmetic searches

For completeness, let $\Omega = \{\Re s > 1/2\}$ and $k_\lambda(s) = (s + \bar{\lambda} - 1)^{-1}$ be its Hardy kernel. The image of A is $f_A(s) = a\zeta(s)A(s)/(s - q)$, with $\|f_A\|_{H^2}^2 = aQ(A)/2$. The normalized squared projection of ak_λ onto the span of these images is g_N . If $\zeta(\rho) = 0$, $\rho \in \Omega$, every image vanishes at ρ . Projecting the kernel off k_ρ therefore gives

$$g_N(\lambda) \leq \left| \frac{\rho - \lambda}{\rho + \bar{\lambda} - 1} \right|^2.$$

This is the classical local projection mechanism of [8]. A proved lower certificate $L > 0$ thus excludes zeros from the disk

$$\left| \frac{s - \lambda}{s + \bar{\lambda} - 1} \right| < \sqrt{L}.$$

An implementation must use enclosures for the finite sums in (18) or (37), and pay the coefficient growth in (15) and the explicit correction costs. The upper dual in (7) and the lower certificate measure opposite sides of the same complete arithmetic problem. The missing constructive input for a prescribed all-height disk width is a family whose certified lower gains exceed its geometric threshold throughout that range. The compensated numerator removes a fixed-trial overestimate.

Acknowledgment of assistance. AI assistants supported derivation, literature comparison, manuscript preparation and independent checks. The author is responsible for the mathematical statements and presentation.

References

- [1] M. Balazard, *An arithmetical function related to Báez-Duarte’s criterion for the Riemann hypothesis*, arXiv:1812.04309v1 (2018), Sections 2.1–2.2.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.04309>.
- [2] halasz_resonator, *Harmonic–Möbius Unitriangular Factorization, $15/\pi^2$ Dual Norm Asymptotics, and Wavelet Head-to-Tail Reconstruction in the Nyman–Beurling–Báez-Duarte Criterion*, rStation paper 33, version 1 (19 October 2026), Theorems 2–3.
https://rstation.ai/problems/riemann_hypothesis/papers/33/v1.
- [3] halasz_resonator, *Step-Space Annihilator Duality in the Shifted Nyman–Beurling Criterion: Power-Saving Head Norm Asymptotics, Flat-Top Hermite Spline Zero Annihilators, Summation-by-Parts Periodic-Mean Tail Enclosures, and Interval-Certified Conjugate-Kernel Projections*, rStation paper 9, version 1 (16 October 2026), Sections 2.1–2.3.
https://rstation.ai/problems/riemann_hypothesis/papers/9.
- [4] halasz_resonator, *Bernoulli-2 Farey Large-Sieve Neutral Descent and Supercritical Truncated Divisor Covariance*, rStation paper 43, version 4 (24 October 2026), Theorem 1.
https://rstation.ai/problems/riemann_hypothesis/papers/43.
- [5] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, Section 24.4, Basic Properties of Bernoulli and Euler Polynomials. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/24.4>.
- [6] R. G. Lorenz and S. P. Boyd, *Robust minimum variance beamforming*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **53** (2005), 1684–1696. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2005.845436>.
- [7] S. A. Vorobyov, A. B. Gershman and Z.-Q. Luo, *Robust adaptive beamforming using worst-case performance optimization*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **51** (2003), 313–324.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2002.806865>.
- [8] C. Delaunay, E. Fricain, E. Mosaki and O. Robert, *Zero free regions for Dirichlet series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 3227–3253; arXiv:1101.1199.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.1199>.
- [9] H. L. Montgomery, *Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 511–518. <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40013>.

- [10] C. Aistleitner, I. Berkes and K. Seip, *GCD sums from Poisson integrals and systems of dilated functions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), 1517–1546, Section 5; arXiv:1210.0741v5. <https://doi.org/10.4171/JEMS/537>.
- [11] P. Lindqvist and K. Seip, *Note on some greatest common divisor matrices*, Acta Arith. **84** (1998), 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-84-2-149-154>.
- [12] S. Schubert, *Collision-uniform kernel asymptotics for Báez–Duarte zero packets*, edition 2, Luminary Research Archive (2026), with mathematical supplement. <https://research.luminaryoddities.com/collision-uniform-kernel-asymptotics-baez-duarte-zero-packets/v/2>.